



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/690,525

10/23/2003

Masahiro Kamiya

117605

6376

25944 7590 06/04/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. BOX 320850  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

EKPO, NNENNA NGOZI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/04/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/690,525	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAMIYA, MASAHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b> JONATHAN LEWIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JONATHAN LEWIS.

(3) AASHISH KARKHANIS.

(2) BRIAN PENDLETON.

(4) PATRICK MUFFO.

Date of Interview: 28 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Huang et al. US Pat. No. 6,437,836; Nagasaka et al. US PG Pub. No. 2004/0085352.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed references with regard to claims 1 and 11. Clarification was made as to examiner's interpretation of prior art. Applicant plans to expound on claim 1 in possible future amendment after final.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian T. Pendleton/  
SPE, Art Unit 2623

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required